

Anekant Education Society's

Anekant Insytitute of Management Studies AIMS Bbaramati

Faculty Appraisal Sheet A. Y. 2020-21

Faculty Name	Designation	SEM-I	SEM-II	Average
Dr. M. A. Lahori	Director	83	84	83.5
Dr. V. N. Sayankar	Professor	82	83	82.5
Dr. U. S. Kollimath	Associate Professor	81	83	82
Dr. A. Y. Dikshit	Associate Professor	80	83	81.5
Dr. D. P. More	Associate Professor	82	81	81.5
Dr. T. V. Chavan	Associate Professor	82	82	82
Prof. S. S. Jadhav	Assistant Professor	80	82	81
Prof. M. A. Vhora	Assistant Professor	81	81	81
Prof. S. S. Khatri	Assistant Professor	82	83	82.5
Prof. P. V. Yadav	Assistant Professor	82	81	81.5
Prof. S. S. Badave	Assistant Professor	81	81	81



Dr. M.A. Lahori  
Director



Anekant Education Society's  
**Anekant Institute of Management Studies (AIMS), Baramati**

**Performance Appraisal Form for Teaching-Staff**


<b>Name of Faculty Member:</b>	
<b>Qualification:</b>	
<b>Designation:</b>	
<b>Academic year:</b>	
<b>Date of Joining:</b>	
<b>Previous year rating</b>	

Criteria for Evaluation and Comments	Criteria Rating (1 – Unsatisfactory, 2 – Needs Improvement, 3 – Meets Expectations, 4 – Exceeds Expectations, 5 – Outstanding)					Criterion Weightage (%)	Total (Criteria Rating* Criterion Weightage)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Teaching & Student Development						25	
Research & Consultancy						20	
Student Feedback						10	
Institutional Events						25	
True Blue Institutional Commitment						20	
Percentage Rating = Total Ratings/5							
Average Rating (Rating below 50% Unsatisfactory, Above 75-Excellent)							

**Qualitative Observation:** Special Efforts/ Achievements/ Rewards, etc:

Area for Performance Improvement:

Date:



Name and Signature of Appraiser



Anekant Education Society's  
**Anekant Institute of Management Studies (AIMS), Baramati**

**Performance Appraisal Form for Non-Teaching Staff**

<b>Name of Faculty Member:</b>	
<b>Qualification:</b>	
<b>Designation:</b>	
<b>Academic year:</b>	
<b>Date of Joining:</b>	
<b>Previous year rating</b>	

Criteria for Evaluation and Comments	Criteria Rating (1 – Unsatisfactory, 2 – Needs Improvement, 3 – Meets Expectations, 4 – Exceeds Expectations, 5 – Outstanding)					Criteria Weightage (%)	Total (Criteria Rating* Criteria Weightage)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Administrative Effectiveness						50	
Student Feedback						25	
Institutional Events						25	
Percentage Rating = Total Ratings/5							
Average Rating (Rating below 50% Unsatisfactory, Above 75-Excellent)							

**Qualitative Observation:** Special Efforts/ Achievements/ Rewards, etc:

Area for Performance Improvement:

Date:



Name and Signature of Appraiser